UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,395	12/12/2003	Lewis Gruber	71527.0010	1707
57362 AKERMAN SE	7590 04/14/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
·-	VANIA AVENUE N.	MUMMERT, STEPHANIE KANE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/735,395	GRUBER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a compared as a com	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);	
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	16 and 41.33(a)).		PTOL -324)
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	·		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	•	_
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,13,18,57,61,87,88 and 112. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 103,130 and 149. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10.		•	
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2/21/08</u>		
/GARY BENZION/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1637			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 158-206 were added. While Applicant notes that these claims depend "either directly or indirectly" from claims 57 and 61, which were indicated as free of the prior art in the previous action, these newly added claims introduce subject matter that would have to be considered further before these claims would be considered as allowable, including consideration of issues under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Furthermore, the amendment to claims 1,13,57,87 and 88 raise issues of additional search and consideration. The amendment adds the limitation that the selection of probes for inclusion in an array is "based on predetermined binding and reactivity characteristics of the probes". This is a limitation that was not considered or searched in previous versions of the claims. Therefore, to consider the amendment to the claims would require additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. The request for reconsideration does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because of non-entry of the amendment. At most, claims 57 and 61 were indicated as free of the prior art in the previous office action. Therefore, at most, these claims may be potentially allowable over the previous art of record and based upon the previous version of the claims. However, the additional amendments to the claims raise new issues of search and consideration and that consideration applies to claims 57 and 61 and to the newly added claims 158-206. Applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended and therefore do not apply to the rejections of record in the previously mailed office action and are not persuasive. The rejections of record are maintained over the previous version of the claims.

Continuation of 12. The reference cited in the IDS also requires additional consideration in terms of how it may apply over the claims as amended and as previously cited.

/Stephanie K. Mummert/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1637